

Review Article

High-Impedance Fault Detection in Electric Power Distribution Networks: A Review

Mohammad Sadegh Attar¹, MS

¹ *Department of Electrical Engineering, Tafresh University, Tafresh, Iran,
pwr400.Attar@tafreshu.ac.ir*

Extended Abstract:

The reliable and safe operation of modern electric power distribution networks is a cornerstone of a stable and prosperous society. However, these networks are perpetually vulnerable to a wide array of faults, among which High-Impedance Faults (HIFs) represent a particularly insidious and challenging threat. The research article provides a timely, systematic, and thorough examination of the state-of-the-art in HIF detection, focusing specifically on the critical five-year period from 2020 to 2024. This review is not merely a summary of existing methods but a structured analysis that deconstructs the problem into its fundamental components—detection techniques, confounding disturbances, network contexts, and performance metrics—to offer a clear and actionable map of the current research landscape.

The paper begins by establishing the severity and unique nature of the HIF problem. Unlike low-impedance faults, which generate large, easily detectable fault currents, HIFs are characterized by their deceptively small current magnitude, often falling within the normal load current range (typically reported between 0-100 Amperes). This fundamental characteristic renders them invisible to conventional overcurrent relays, the primary protective devices deployed across distribution networks. The genesis of an HIF is typically a downed conductor making contact with a high-resistance surface such as dry asphalt, sand, wood, or grass, often accompanied by an erratic, non-linear electric arc. This physical origin imbues the fault current with a complex set of signatures, including current waveform asymmetry, random and non-stationary behavior, the presence of high- and low-frequency harmonics, and a gradual current build-up. Critically, these very signatures can be mimicked by a host of benign, everyday events in a distribution system, such as the energizing of a distribution transformer (inrush current), the switching of capacitor banks or large non-linear loads (e.g., variable-speed drives), or the connection of distributed generation (DG) units. This profound similarity between the fault and normal transients is the core challenge that any viable HIF detection scheme must overcome, as a false negative leaves a live conductor on the ground—a severe safety hazard that can cause electrocution, wildfires, and equipment damage—while a false positive leads to unnecessary and costly outages.

To navigate this complex problem space, the author structures the review around a meticulous analysis of recent literature. The central analytical tool is a comprehensive tabulation that catalogs and categorizes a significant body of work from 2020 to 2024. Each study is dissected across multiple critical dimensions: the physical quantity being measured (e.g., voltage, current, or both), the mathematical or signal processing domain used for feature extraction (time, frequency, time-scale, or time-frequency), the classification or decision-making algorithm employed, the specific types of confounding disturbances considered in the validation, the type of test network (e.g., IEEE 13-bus, IEEE 34-bus, or a generic 10/20 kV feeder), and the key performance indicators of detection accuracy and speed. This granular approach allows the review to move beyond a simple list of methods and instead identify clear trends, strengths, and gaps in the research.

A key finding highlighted by the review is the overwhelming dominance of current-based measurement. The vast majority of the analyzed studies rely primarily on the fault current signal for detection, as it carries the most direct information about the arc's non-linear behavior. In the domain of feature extraction, the review demonstrates a clear evolution from classical time- and frequency-domain methods towards more sophisticated time-frequency analysis, particularly the Wavelet Transform (WT) and its variants (e.g., Discrete Wavelet Transform - DWT, Empirical Wavelet Transform - EWT). The WT's ability to provide a multi-resolution view of a signal, localizing both

transient events in time and their associated frequency content, makes it exceptionally well-suited for capturing the non-stationary and multi-scale nature of HIF currents. This is further complemented by other powerful time-frequency tools like the Stockwell Transform (ST), Variational Mode Decomposition (VMD), and the Hermite Transform (HT).

Perhaps the most significant trend identified is the pervasive and transformative role of Artificial Intelligence (AI), and more specifically machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), in the classification stage. Traditional, threshold-based logic has been largely superseded by intelligent classifiers that can learn the complex, non-linear boundaries between HIFs and normal transients from data. The review shows that Support Vector Machines (SVMs) have been a popular and robust choice, while Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks have emerged as powerful deep learning tools. CNNs excel at automatically extracting spatial features from time-series data when it is represented as an image (e.g., through a time-frequency distribution), while LSTMs are adept at modeling the temporal dependencies inherent in a fault's evolving signature. The review also notes a growing interest in advanced AI strategies to mitigate the need for vast amounts of labeled training data in real-world distribution systems, such as transfer learning, where a model pre-trained on a large, generic dataset (like the GoogleNet architecture mentioned) is fine-tuned for the specific HIF detection task.

The review further provides valuable insights into the practical aspects of this research. It observes a notable trend in the types of disturbances used for validation: newer studies are increasingly testing their algorithms against a more comprehensive and realistic set of confounding events, moving beyond simple linear load switching to include DG integration, non-linear loads, and transformer inrush. This trend signifies a maturing field that is striving for real-world applicability. In terms of simulation tools, while MATLAB/Simulink remains a popular choice, the review notes a rising adoption of more specialized and industry-relevant Electromagnetic Transient (EMT) software like PSCAD/EMTDC and ATP/EMTP. These tools offer a higher-fidelity representation of the physical phenomena involved in HIFs and transients, lending greater credibility to the validation process.

In conclusion, this review by Attar serves as an invaluable resource for researchers and engineers working on distribution system protection. It not only catalogs the explosion of HIF detection techniques over the past five years but also synthesizes this information into a coherent narrative that highlights the field's trajectory. The central thesis is that the path forward lies in the intelligent fusion of high-fidelity signal processing (especially in the time-frequency domain) with sophisticated AI-based classifiers. The review effectively underscores that a successful HIF detection scheme must be not just sensitive to the fault, but also highly selective against a wide array of system transients, and its development must be grounded in realistic modeling and comprehensive validation. By meticulously mapping the current state of the art, identifying key trends in methodology and validation, and implicitly outlining the standards for a robust solution, this work provides a crucial foundation for future research aimed at finally solving the persistent and dangerous problem of high-impedance faults.

Keywords: Fault current, High impedance fault, Detection techniques, Artificial intelligence

Received: 27 Aug. 2024

Revised: 11 Nov. 2024

Accepted: 13 Nov. 2024

*** Corresponding Author:** Mohammad Sadegh Attar

Citation: M. S. Attar, "High-Impedance Fault Detection in Electric Power Distribution Networks: A Review", Journal of Novel Researches on Smart Power Systems, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 53-73, September 2024 (in Persian).